

# Mississippi\_Historical\_Counties\_Dataset

## Shapefile



## Tags

historical county boundaries, 7 February 1785 to 31 December 2000, Mississippi

## Summary

The Atlas is meant to be a resource for people (a) seeking records of past events, (b) trying to analyze, interpret, and display county-based historical data like returns of elections and censuses, and (c) working on state and local history. The special interests of those potential users range from history to demography, economics, genealogy, geography, law, and politics. Counties and their equivalents (e.g., parishes in Louisiana and independent cities in four other states) cover all the territory of the United States, function as repositories of valuable records, and long have been used as the geographic base units for the gathering of essential social, political, and economic data. The authority to create, change, or eliminate counties and to specify their functions lies with the states and their predecessors. In detail, the role of counties varies from state to state, but in every state they administer the judicial system and provide a great number of services. In the process, counties collect and preserve large quantities of information. For example: records of marriages, births, and deaths; probated wills; militia training; real-estate transfers; tax collections; welfare benefits; school programs; voter registrations; etc. Outside densely populated cities, counties have served as colonial, territorial, and state legislative districts and as the building blocks of congressional districts. In the nineteenth century they became the grassroots centers for the development of political parties. Moreover, counties have been the principal geographic units for the collection and aggregation of data from colonial/territorial, state, and federal censuses. Unfortunately for researchers, the average county has changed size, shape, or location between four and five times. Therefore, knowing the present county of the place where a past event occurred may not be sufficient to find its official records. If county boundaries changed in the meantime, it is necessary to learn what county had jurisdiction at the time of the event to identify the courthouse where the record is stored today. If the reported population of a county changed from one census to another, was that because of an increase or a decrease in the number of people, or an annexation or loss of populated territory, or a combination of both? Trying to analyze county-based historical data without controlling for boundary changes is almost certain to yield errors and lead to false conclusions.

## Description

This document serves as the metadata for the Mississippi Historical Counties Dataset shapefile for use in a geographic information system (GIS). That file may be downloaded without charge from this Web site (<http://www.newberry.org/ahcbp>); see also Distribution\_Information, below. In addition, an interactive map of Mississippi's Historical Counties Dataset is available for operation and viewing through the Web site by means of ArcIMS, a program produced by Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI). ArcIMS draws its boundary data for the interactive map from the Mississippi Historical Counties Dataset shapefile. The interactive map is projected while the downloadable shapefile is not. Here are descriptions of the sources and methods used to gather and process the information that appears in the shapefile and in the interactive map so that users can evaluate the quality and utility of the data. The comprehensive Mississippi Historical Counties Dataset shapefile holds the polygons, metadata, and attribute data

for every different configuration of every county or county equivalent in Mississippi, dated to the day, from 7 February 1785 through 31 December 2000. The Historical Counties Dataset, together with a number of supplementary cartographic data files and text files, enable users easily to employ a geographic information system for the analysis and display of county-related historical data. First among the non-cartographic data files is the Mississippi Comprehensive Database (a tab-delimited text file that can be imported into a database or spreadsheet program), which provides descriptions of all known changes in state and county boundaries, changes in county organization and attachments, and changes in status and name, together with citations to the sources. These data include unmappable boundary changes, which usually means changes too small to plot as polygons at compilation scale, changes whose shapes could not be plotted at compilation scale (e.g., shift of a boundary line from the centerline of a road to one shoulder or the other), and changes that could not be mapped for other reasons (e.g., the location of the change could not be determined). In the Comprehensive Database, there is a separate entry for each county involved in each event. That facilitates assembling all the events pertaining to a single county. In addition to the Comprehensive Database, there are five supplemental texts. These are: (1) a comprehensive County Index (includes proposed and extinct counties and non-county areas and provides cross references for name changes, with hyperlinks to corresponding individual county chronologies), (2) a Consolidated Chronology that organizes all the data by date, combining all the counties involved in an event into a single, composite entry, (3) a set of Individual County Chronologies, each one covering all the changes in a single county or equivalent, (4) a Bibliography that lists the primary and secondary sources found useful in the historical research, and (5) a Commentary on the research problems and materials that were remarkable or unusual in the process of historical compilation (Not every state requires a commentary.). A "Read Me" file introduces all these files and indicates how to get started with them.

#### Credits

Principal financial support for the project was provided by the Reference Materials Program of the National Endowment for the Humanities, an independent federal agency; additional support came from the Newberry Library, Chicago, the project's headquarters, and from a number of corporations, foundations, and individuals.

#### Use limitations

Free for use under an Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike Creative Commons License

#### Extent

**West** -91.662506    **East** -84.888247  
**North** 35.008029    **South** 30.149892

#### Scale Range

There is no scale range for this item.

#### ArcGIS Metadata ►

#### Topics and Keywords ►

THEMES OR CATEGORIES OF THE RESOURCE    boundaries

\* CONTENT TYPE    Downloadable Data

PLACE KEYWORDS    Mississippi

TEMPORAL KEYWORDS    7 February 1785 to 31 December 2000

THEME KEYWORDS    historical county boundaries

[Hide Topics and Keywords ▲](#)

## Citation ►

TITLE Mississippi\_Historical\_Counties\_Dataset

PRESENTATION FORMATS digital map  
FGDC GEOSPATIAL PRESENTATION FORMAT vector digital data

### SERIES

NAME Atlas of Historical County Boundaries-Digital (Shapefiles)

[Hide Citation ▲](#)

## Citation Contacts ►

### RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME Peggy Tuck Sinko, Historical Compiler  
CONTACT'S ROLE originator

### RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME John H. Long, Editor, Atlas of Historical County Boundaries  
CONTACT'S ROLE originator

### RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME Laura Rico-Beck, Digital Compiler  
CONTACT'S ROLE originator

[Hide Citation Contacts ▲](#)

## Resource Details ►

DATASET LANGUAGES English (UNITED STATES)

STATUS completed

SPATIAL REPRESENTATION TYPE vector

### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Method: Historical compilers plot county boundary changes in chronological order. Working directly from originals or photocopies of the verbal boundary descriptions in the state session laws, the ultimate authoritative source, the researcher plots the lines on a transparent compilation sheet laid over a modern base map of the state. As each change is plotted, the compiler writes a descriptive entry for the state's boundary chronology and a brief citation of the source of the information. The compiler creates the Comprehensive Database from this information. Plotting boundary changes of all counties together and in sequence, not merely reconstructing the counties at different points in time (e.g., dates of censuses) or concentrating on a single county at a time (thereby taking it out of the context of what happened to its neighbors), is an important aspect of the historical compilation process. Doing so gives the compiler valuable insight into how the counties developed and whether the intentions of legislators were realized in their enactments. For example, a law may say its purpose is to transfer territory from County A to County B, but the actual effect, visibly evident from the plot, may be to transfer territory from both A and C to B. When boundaries are plotted this way, gores (gaps between counties) and overlaps created accidentally

by the legislature are readily apparent, and errors in plotting are discovered almost immediately. It is nearly impossible to detect such developments unless the counties are plotted together. Descriptive entries in the comprehensive database and in the chronologies reflect actual changes because they are written from the compilation plots, not from the laws alone or from secondary works. One additional benefit of this approach is that it provides an automatic checking mechanism. When the historical compiler reaches the end of the development of the county network, the final version should be identical with the boundaries of the present county. If there is a difference between the completed compilation and the standard, current map, the compiler knows there is a mistake somewhere. Such a discrepancy is rare, but when one is discovered, the compiler reviews the compilation to find the source of the problem. Usually it is a matter of the compiler erring in the plot of a boundary or accidentally omitting some change, either of which can easily be corrected, but occasionally the fault is found on the current, federal map. When the error appears on the federal map, the boundary is plotted accurately and a brief explanation of the difference is added to the supplemental Commentary. Problematic Data. Every so often, a state's law makers mistakenly overlapped the lines of two or more counties. Once such an overlap was detected, it seldom lasted long because dual jurisdictions generate only trouble, and states acted swiftly to eliminate them. This atlas treats areas of overlapping jurisdiction as distinct polygons and provides the usual data (e.g., start dates and end dates) for each one. Much more common than overlaps are non-county areas, that is, areas not within the jurisdiction of any county. Sometimes legal boundary descriptions left small areas, known as gores, outside the bounds of any county. Such inadvertent omissions errors most often occurred in the early days of a state's history when boundary makers lacked knowledge of the state's topography. Sometimes, legislators purposely did not extend county jurisdiction over all of their state's territory as early as possible, but waited until they had a better understanding of the lay of the land and until the prospect of European settlement was closer. Under those circumstances, they often provided a minimum of legal and administrative services for each non-county area by formally attaching it to a fully operational county; later, when the area was ready for settlement or was already under development, the state created one or more counties from the non-county area. This atlas aims to be absolutely comprehensive and, with a few exceptions (see next paragraph), to leave no "holes" in its historical and geographic coverage of a state. In practice, each state compilation includes all the territory within its bounds in 2000, regardless of what authority created or altered a county there, plus all other territory that may have been within the state's jurisdiction at an earlier time. Also, there are no empty spaces, no areas outside a named polygon. Each non-county area, whether an accidental gore or a region purposely set aside for future settlement, is represented by a polygon, the polygon is named (often merely as a non-county area with a number, such as NCA1), and a full set of data about it is entered in the database and the attribute file. The exceptions to the "no-holes" policy described above are the large non-county areas in western Virginia, New York, and the New England states during much of the seventeenth century. In London and the other European capitals, officials had access to so little accurate information about inland territory that imperial claims and land grants, including colonial charters, often were incomplete or imprecise or asserted limits (e.g., the Pacific Ocean or "South Sea") that were so extreme as to be impractical to plot. Compilers treated those large, indefinitely bounded, and inadequately described, non-county areas as empty territory and made no attempt to represent them as coherent, historically complete polygons. Because the ArcGIS program requires that all polygons be closed, the compilers supplied estimated boundary lines to close polygons representing indefinitely extensive frontier counties and noted their action in the "Change" field. Some changes have not been mapped because the change is too small to map, or the location is unknown, or both; for example, a law that transferred ten acres belonging to farmer Smith from one county to another would be unmappable because the parcel is too small to be mapped at the standard compilation scale or because the location of Smith's farm cannot be

discovered. When the location of a change too small to map is known, the historical compiler marks the location and the digital compiler digitizes it as a point. All such tiny changes are collected in a separate shapefile, usually labeled [YEAR]\_pt.shp. Using the historical compiler's plotting overlays and associated material (e.g., notes, copies of the laws), the GIS compiler draws the counties in digital form. For digitizing, the program is ArcGIS 9.1, and the electronic modern "base map" is from the Digital Chart of the World (DCW) provided with ArcGIS by Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI), plus, as needed, such other data (often from another source) as the grid of the Public Land Survey System (PLSS). By repeating much of the procedure of the historical compiler, the digital compiler implicitly checks the work of her predecessor and occasionally finds line segments that must be corrected. As digitizing proceeds, data from the comprehensive database are entered into the attribute table. After perfecting the boundary lines, the GIS digitizer assembles copies of all county polygons and attribute data into a single shapefile, the Historical Counties Dataset shapefile.

\* **PROCESSING ENVIRONMENT** Version 6.2 (Build 9200) ; Esri ArcGIS 10.6.1.9270

#### CREDITS

Principal financial support for the project was provided by the Reference Materials Program of the National Endowment for the Humanities, an independent federal agency; additional support came from the Newberry Library, Chicago, the project's headquarters, and from a number of corporations, foundations, and individuals.

#### ARCgis ITEM PROPERTIES

\* **NAME** MS\_Historical\_Counties  
\* **SIZE** 10.637  
\* **LOCATION** file:///\\DESKTOP-TP9LNVL\F\$\DATA\00\_BOUNDARIES\MS\_Historical\_Counties.shp  
\* **ACCESS PROTOCOL** Local Area Network

[Hide Resource Details ▲](#)

## Extents ►

#### EXTENT

##### GEOGRAPHIC EXTENT

##### BOUNDING RECTANGLE

**WEST LONGITUDE** -91.662506  
**EAST LONGITUDE** -84.888247  
**SOUTH LATITUDE** 30.149892  
**NORTH LATITUDE** 35.008029

#### EXTENT

##### DESCRIPTION

publication date

##### TEMPORAL EXTENT

##### BEGINNING DATE

**INDETERMINATE DATE** 02/07/1785

##### ENDING DATE

**INDETERMINATE DATE** 12/31/2000

#### EXTENT

##### GEOGRAPHIC EXTENT

##### BOUNDING RECTANGLE

EXTENT TYPE Extent used for searching

\* WEST LONGITUDE -91.662506

\* EAST LONGITUDE -84.888247

\* NORTH LATITUDE 35.008029

\* SOUTH LATITUDE 30.149892

\* EXTENT CONTAINS THE RESOURCE Yes

EXTENT IN THE ITEM'S COORDINATE SYSTEM

\* WEST LONGITUDE -91.662506

\* EAST LONGITUDE -84.888247

\* SOUTH LATITUDE 30.149892

\* NORTH LATITUDE 35.008029

\* EXTENT CONTAINS THE RESOURCE Yes

[Hide Extents ▲](#)

## Resource Points of Contact ►

POINT OF CONTACT

ORGANIZATION'S NAME Dr. William M. Scholl Center for American History and Culture, The Newberry Library

CONTACT'S POSITION Director, Dr. William M. Scholl Center for American History and Culture

CONTACT'S ROLE point of contact

CONTACT INFORMATION ►

ADDRESS

TYPE both

DELIVERY POINT 60 W. Walton Street

CITY Chicago

ADMINISTRATIVE AREA Illinois

POSTAL CODE 60610

COUNTRY US

E-MAIL ADDRESS [scholl@newberry.org](mailto:scholl@newberry.org)

HOURS OF SERVICE

8:00 am - 5:00 pm M-F, CT

[Hide Contact information ▲](#)

[Hide Resource Points of Contact ▲](#)

## Resource Maintenance ►

RESOURCE MAINTENANCE

UPDATE FREQUENCY as needed

[Hide Resource Maintenance ▲](#)

## Resource Constraints ►

LEGAL CONSTRAINTS

LIMITATIONS OF USE

No liability is assumed by the Atlas of Historical County Boundaries Project or the Newberry Library

OTHER CONSTRAINTS

Free access for use under an Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike Creative Commons License

SECURITY CONSTRAINTS

CLASSIFICATION unclassified  
CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM none

ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS

none

CONSTRAINTS

LIMITATIONS OF USE

Free for use under an Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike Creative Commons License

[Hide Resource Constraints ▲](#)

## Spatial Reference ►

ARCgis COORDINATE SYSTEM

- \* TYPE Geographic
- \* GEOGRAPHIC COORDINATE REFERENCE GCS\_North\_American\_1983
- \* COORDINATE REFERENCE DETAILS

GEOGRAPHIC COORDINATE SYSTEM

WELL-KNOWN IDENTIFIER 4269  
X ORIGIN -400  
Y ORIGIN -400  
XY SCALE 11258999068426.238  
Z ORIGIN -100000  
Z SCALE 10000  
M ORIGIN -100000  
M SCALE 10000  
XY TOLERANCE 8.9831528411952133e-09  
Z TOLERANCE 0.001  
M TOLERANCE 0.001  
HIGH PRECISION true  
LEFT LONGITUDE -180  
LATEST WELL-KNOWN IDENTIFIER 4269  
WELL-KNOWN TEXT  
GEOGCS["GCS\_North\_American\_1983",DATUM["D\_North\_American\_1983",SPHEROID["GRS\_1980",6378137.0,298.257222101]],PRIMEM["Greenwich",0.0],UNIT["Degree",0.0174532925199433],AUTHORITY["EPSG",4269]]

REFERENCE SYSTEM IDENTIFIER

- \* VALUE 4269
- \* CODESPACE EPSG
- \* VERSION 6.12(3.0.1)

[Hide Spatial Reference ▲](#)

## Spatial Data Properties ►

### VECTOR ►

\* LEVEL OF TOPOLOGY FOR THIS DATASET geometry only

### GEOMETRIC OBJECTS

FEATURE CLASS NAME MS\_Historical\_Counties

\* OBJECT TYPE composite

\* OBJECT COUNT 487

*Hide Vector ▲*

### ARCGIS FEATURE CLASS PROPERTIES ►

FEATURE CLASS NAME MS\_Historical\_Counties

\* FEATURE TYPE Simple

\* GEOMETRY TYPE Polygon

\* HAS TOPOLOGY FALSE

\* FEATURE COUNT 487

\* SPATIAL INDEX TRUE

\* LINEAR REFERENCING FALSE

*Hide ArcGIS Feature Class Properties ▲*

*Hide Spatial Data Properties ▲*

## Data Quality ►

### SCOPE OF QUALITY INFORMATION ►

RESOURCE LEVEL dataset

*Hide Scope of quality information ▲*

### DATA QUALITY REPORT - COMPLETENESS OMISSION ►

#### MEASURE DESCRIPTION

The data set is complete. All changes are dated to the day. If there is a difference between the effective date of change and the date when a law was passed, the effective date of change is used. Boundary changes too small to map are included in the chronologies and in the Comprehensive Database. As a rule, boundary changes occurring entirely on water were not mapped. Exceptions to this rule might include county boundaries which run through large inland water bodies like Lake Okeechobee, Lake Pontchartrain, Great Salt Lake, etc. No regular or systematic updating of the pre-2001 data is anticipated because (a) the historical data cannot change and (b) the compilers believe their methods and materials are sufficient to produce data that are complete and correct. (That is not to say no error can slip through. Suggestions for ad hoc changes or additions to the historical data, together with an explanation of why the change should be made and supporting evidence, should be directed to scholl@newberry.org or Dr. William M. Scholl Center for American History and Culture, The Newberry Library, 60 W. Walton St., Chicago, IL 60610.) County boundary



changes that occur after 31 December 2000 will routinely be digitized by both the state of Mississippi and the federal government and, therefore, will be available from agencies of those governments in separate files in the indefinite future.

[Hide Data quality report - Completeness omission ▲](#)

[DATA QUALITY REPORT - QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTE ACCURACY ►](#)

[MEASURE DESCRIPTION](#)

The Atlas of Historical County Boundaries Project aims to achieve high accuracy through the use of the most authoritative and reliable sources, analysis of those sources by tested procedures, and careful proofreading of the results. Because counties are created and changed by their states, the state session laws are the primary, authoritative sources for the county lines, names, organization, and attachments. The initial plots of the boundaries are direct conversions of the legal boundary descriptions in the laws into linework on the plotting sheets. They are performed with copies of the legal descriptions at hand, and those same laws also are at hand for the GIS compiler when digitizing boundaries. All other sources, including old maps, are derived from those legal descriptions. The historical compiler searches the state session laws and, when necessary, related material (e.g., court decisions, executive proclamations) for information about the courses of the boundaries. Secondary texts, maps, and local experts are consulted as needed (e.g., when recovering a long-lost landmark that figured in an early boundary description). Dates of changes are also taken from the laws. Some laws specify when the change will go into effect, but others (mostly those passed before the twentieth century) do not; if no official effective date is provided, the historical compiler uses the date when the law was passed or approved. The locations of places and landmarks cited in the boundary descriptions are gathered from the modern, federal base maps or from secondary publications (e.g., gazetteers, county histories, articles in historical journals), old maps, or local experts. Several steps are taken to insure the accuracy of the boundaries as they are manually plotted, and to maintain the precision of those plots as they are manually digitized. The digitizing process involves faithfully drawing the sketched counties using landmarks such as rivers, roads, and places. These positional data were obtained from ESRI's Data and Maps collection (1:100,000 scale). Additionally, Public Land Survey System data (also at 1:100,000 scale) were used to digitize boundaries in Mississippi. These PLSS data were acquired from ESRI. Once the initial digitizing is complete a master file is created and uploaded on IMS. When the digitizing is complete, the digitized polygons and their attribute data are once again checked for accuracy against the chronology for the state.

[Hide Data quality report - Quantitative attribute accuracy ▲](#)

[DATA QUALITY REPORT - ABSOLUTE EXTERNAL POSITIONAL ACCURACY ►](#)

[DIMENSION](#) horizontal

[MEASURE DESCRIPTION](#)

Accurate to matching USGS 1:500,000 scale State Base maps.

[Hide Data quality report - Absolute external positional accuracy ▲](#)

[Hide Data Quality ▲](#)

## Lineage ►

### PROCESS STEP ►

WHEN THE PROCESS OCCURRED 2007-01-01

#### DESCRIPTION

Digitizing Historical Counties. Using the historical compiler's base map, plotting overlays, the Comprehensive Database, and associated material (e.g., notes, copies of the laws), the GIS compiler manually digitizes the historical county polygons over the digital base map. By repeating much of the process of the historical compiler, the digital compiler implicitly checks the work of the historical compiler and occasionally finds line segments that are in error and must be corrected. As digitizing proceeds, data from the Comprehensive Database are entered into the attribute table. The process of entering attribute data entails an implicit review of the database and, if the greater map detail involved in working at digitization scale (see below) is different from the original descriptions, that may lead to updates of the database, including dates and version numbers and even descriptions of changes. The compiler works "heads up," facing the monitor and using the mouse to draw lines against a background of the digital base map. The historical compiler's plots are not scanned and overlaid on the digital base map, nor does the digital compiler trace the earlier work on a digitizing tablet, because neither technique is as efficient or accurate as drawing the lines anew. One reason is that the scale for most of the historical compilations is 1:500,000 and the scale for digitization is 1:100,000. It is most unusual to draw a map at a larger scale than its source or early version, but in this case it was unavoidable because digitization did not commence until after nearly all the states had already been compiled at the smaller scale. In effect, the historical plots are a preliminary stage, and the plots from that work become the chief sources or guides (supported by the historical notes and copies of the legal descriptions, and other material) for the digital compiler who renders the final, detailed version of the boundary lines.

### SOURCE DATA ►

RELATIONSHIP TO THE PROCESS STEP used

### SOURCE CITATION ►

ALTERNATE TITLES MSB1, BASE2

[Hide Source citation ▲](#)

[Hide Source data ▲](#)

### SOURCE DATA ►

RELATIONSHIP TO THE PROCESS STEP produced

SOURCE CITATION ►

ALTERNATE TITLES MSB2

*Hide Source citation ▲*

*Hide Source data ▲*

*Hide Process step ▲*

PROCESS STEP ►

WHEN THE PROCESS OCCURRED 2007-01-01

DESCRIPTION

Create the comprehensive Historical Counties Dataset shapefile. After digitizing the historical counties, the GIS compiler creates a shapefile known as the comprehensive Historical Counties Dataset shapefile. It holds all versions of each county, plus unsuccessful proposals for changes and new counties, thus enabling a user to acquire maps of every version of every county. After the historical and IMS master files have been created, areas are calculated for all polygons.

SOURCE DATA ►

RELATIONSHIP TO THE PROCESS STEP used

SOURCE CITATION ►

ALTERNATE TITLES MSB2

*Hide Source citation ▲*

*Hide Source data ▲*

SOURCE DATA ►

RELATIONSHIP TO THE PROCESS STEP produced

SOURCE CITATION ►

ALTERNATE TITLES MSB3

*Hide Source citation ▲*

*Hide Source data ▲*

[Hide Process step ▲](#)

PROCESS STEP ►

WHEN THE PROCESS OCCURRED 2007-01-01

DESCRIPTION

Final Proofing. Compilers proof the polygons of the master shapefile against the comprehensive database. After using ArcIMS to prepare an interactive, viewable cartographic shapefile, the compiler compares the entries in the database to the entries in the attribute table and checks the IMS image for the date and county names specified in the database entry. Discrepancies in the textual material (i.e., database) can be corrected on the spot; apparent errors in the polygons are noted for later correction by the GIS compiler. Later, after the GIS compiler corrects any faults in the line work, those corrections are reviewed again by the compilers and, if all polygons and text match properly, the shapefile is posted to the Web site.

SOURCE DATA ►

RELATIONSHIP TO THE PROCESS STEP used

SOURCE CITATION ►

ALTERNATE TITLES MSB3

[Hide Source citation ▲](#)

[Hide Source data ▲](#)

SOURCE DATA ►

RELATIONSHIP TO THE PROCESS STEP produced

SOURCE CITATION ►

ALTERNATE TITLES MSB4

[Hide Source citation ▲](#)

[Hide Source data ▲](#)

[Hide Process step ▲](#)

PROCESS STEP ►

WHEN THE PROCESS OCCURRED 1993-01-01

DESCRIPTION

Historical Compilation. Working directly from originals or photocopies of the verbal boundary descriptions in the laws, the historical compiler plots the boundary lines of

Historical Counties Dataset on a transparent compilation sheet laid over a base map of the state. Compilation proceeds from past to present. As each change is plotted the compiler writes a descriptive entry for the state's boundary chronology and a brief citation of the source of the information and enters it into the Comprehensive Database. (See also Supplemental\_Information, Method, above.) The base map for this operation was the Mississippi map from the U.S.G.S. State Base series at the scale of 1:500,000. (The 1:1,000,000 version of the map was employed whenever smaller scale was appropriate or needed to plot large or simple changes.) The original strategy for the Atlas was to publish all states in book form before venturing to digitize the data, and the 1:500,000 scale maps were used in making the books. Before switching to all digital products and methods, about 80% of the states had been researched and compiled using this series of base maps, including 24 states published in 19 printed volumes. It was not practical to re-compile those data at a larger scale like 1:100,000. (See below, the next two process steps.)

SOURCE DATA   
RELATIONSHIP TO THE PROCESS STEP used

SOURCE CITATION   
ALTERNATE TITLES Miss. Laws

*Hide Source citation* 

*Hide Source data* 

SOURCE DATA   
RELATIONSHIP TO THE PROCESS STEP used

SOURCE CITATION   
ALTERNATE TITLES MS\_BIB

*Hide Source citation* 

*Hide Source data* 

SOURCE DATA   
RELATIONSHIP TO THE PROCESS STEP used

SOURCE CITATION   
ALTERNATE TITLES Miss. Terr. Stat.

*Hide Source citation* 

[Hide Source data ▲](#)

SOURCE DATA ▶

RELATIONSHIP TO THE PROCESS STEP produced

SOURCE CITATION ▶

ALTERNATE TITLES MSB1

[Hide Source citation ▲](#)

[Hide Source data ▲](#)

[Hide Process step ▲](#)

PROCESS STEP ▶

WHEN THE PROCESS OCCURRED 2007-01-01

DESCRIPTION

Digital Base Map Creation. The GIS compiler creates a digital base map for the state (and any neighboring states that take part in its history) by editing the relevant portion of the Digital Chart of the World (DCW) supplied by ESRI in its ArcView package. This process consists chiefly in deleting elements (e.g., rivers) that are not related to the boundaries or do not serve a major reference function for potential readers and adding such other data as necessary. State Web sites commonly are the best sources for the grid of the Public Land Survey System (PLSS) in states west of the Appalachian Mountains and for the networks of town boundaries in the New England states. The main component of the customized base map is the set of detailed polygons of the modern counties. The GIS compiler projects the DCW so that the working version matches the projection of the paper base map used by the historical compiler.

SOURCE DATA ▶

RELATIONSHIP TO THE PROCESS STEP used

SOURCE CITATION ▶

ALTERNATE TITLES BASE1

[Hide Source citation ▲](#)

[Hide Source data ▲](#)

SOURCE DATA ▶

RELATIONSHIP TO THE PROCESS STEP produced

SOURCE CITATION ►

ALTERNATE TITLES BASE2

*Hide Source citation ▲*

*Hide Source data ▲*

*Hide Process step ▲*

PROCESS STEP ►

WHEN THE PROCESS OCCURRED 2008-20-09

DESCRIPTION

Topology Check. A multi-step process was applied to ensure that historical county polygons fit together precisely at all dates. The first step was to convert the historical county shapefile to a polygon feature class in an ESRI geodatabase. ArcMap tools were then used to planarize the polygon boundary lines in the historical county feature class, and to create polygons from these lines. This resulted in a new feature class consisting of non-overlapping component polygons. The ESRI topology functionality was applied to the component polygons to detect overlaps and gaps, and to snap vertices to the ESRI modern county polygon feature class. Based on the original historical county data, a table was created to specify, for each component polygon, the different counties to which it belonged and the time frames. The table was programmatically checked to verify that each component polygon was correctly assigned to historical counties throughout its life, with no unexpected gaps or overlaps. The component polygons were then reassembled back into the historical counties, and converted to a shapefile. The resulting historical county shapefile consists of a large number of overlapping polygons; however, as a result of the topology check process, the subset of counties in effect at any selected date is topologically correct, with no unexpected gaps or overlaps. There are a number of known gaps and overlaps, however, due to legislative or surveying errors, and to conflicting territorial claims.

SOURCE DATA ►

RELATIONSHIP TO THE PROCESS STEP used

SOURCE CITATION ►

ALTERNATE TITLES MSB4

*Hide Source citation ▲*

*Hide Source data ▲*

SOURCE DATA ►

RELATIONSHIP TO THE PROCESS STEP produced

SOURCE CITATION ▶

ALTERNATE TITLES MSB5

*Hide Source citation ▲*

*Hide Source data ▲*

*Hide Process step ▲*

SOURCE DATA ▶

DESCRIPTION

"Mississippi: Bibliography and Sources," a partially annotated bibliography of textual and cartographic sources that yielded useful information in the compilation of the historical evolution of Mississippi's counties, is a separate document that is a companion to this shapefile. Items in the bibliography are not equally important, yet each one was sufficiently valuable to the research and compilation of Mississippi's historical county boundaries to merit listing. With the exception of the detailed citations above, no other sources are cited and described separately in the metadata and in the style set by the FGDC metadata standard; traditional bibliographic style is more compact and provides sufficient information for a user to find the item in any library.

SOURCE MEDIUM NAME hardcopy—printing on paper

SOURCE CITATION ▶

TITLE Mississippi Historical County Boundaries - Bibliography

ALTERNATE TITLES MS\_BIB

EDITION various

RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME various

CONTACT'S ROLE originator

RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME various

CONTACT'S ROLE publisher

CONTACT INFORMATION ▶

ADDRESS

DELIVERY POINT various

*Hide Contact information ▲*

*Hide Source citation ▲*



[Hide Source data ▲](#)

SOURCE DATA ▶

DESCRIPTION

These laws are the authority for the creation and change of each county; they contain the legal, verbal descriptions of the county boundaries, the effective dates of change, and related material. The historical compilers plot the lines described in the laws, converting them from words to lines on a map.

SOURCE MEDIUM NAME   hardcopy—printing on paper

SOURCE CITATION ▶

TITLE   General Laws of Mississippi

ALTERNATE TITLES   Miss. Laws

PRESENTATION FORMATS   hardcopy document

FGDC GEOSPATIAL PRESENTATION FORMAT   document

RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME   Government of Mississippi

CONTACT'S ROLE   publisher

CONTACT INFORMATION ▶

ADDRESS

DELIVERY POINT   Jackson, Miss.

[Hide Contact information ▲](#)

RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME   Mississippi legislature

CONTACT'S ROLE   originator

[Hide Source citation ▲](#)

EXTENT OF THE SOURCE DATA

DESCRIPTION

publication date

TEMPORAL EXTENT

BEGINNING DATE

INDETERMINATE DATE   10/1817

ENDING DATE

INDETERMINATE DATE   12/31/2000

[Hide Source data ▲](#)

SOURCE DATA ▶

DESCRIPTION

The compilation of this digest is often attributed to Edward Turner. These laws are the authority for the creation and change of each county; they contain the legal, verbal descriptions of the county boundaries, the effective dates of change, and related material. The historical compilers plot the lines described in the laws, converting them from words to lines on a map.

SOURCE MEDIUM NAME **hardcopy—printing on paper**

SOURCE CITATION **▶**

TITLE **Statutes of the Mississippi Territory**

ALTERNATE TITLES **Miss. Terr. Stat.**

PUBLICATION DATE **1816-01-01**

PRESENTATION FORMATS **hardcopy document**

FGDC GEOSPATIAL PRESENTATION FORMAT **document**

RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME **Government of Mississippi Territory**

CONTACT'S ROLE **publisher**

CONTACT INFORMATION **▶**

ADDRESS

DELIVERY POINT **Natchez, Miss.**

*Hide Contact information ▲*

RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME **Mississippi territory legislature**

CONTACT'S ROLE **originator**

*Hide Source citation ▲*

EXTENT OF THE SOURCE DATA

DESCRIPTION

**publication date**

TEMPORAL EXTENT

BEGINNING DATE

INDETERMINATE DATE **01/1799**

ENDING DATE

INDETERMINATE DATE **11/1816**

*Hide Source data ▲*

SOURCE DATA **▶**

DESCRIPTION

The U.S. National Atlas Public Land Survey represents the Public Land Surveys (e.g., donation lands, land grants, private and public lands) of the United States. This polygon coverage of the townships, ranges, and sections contained in the Public Land Survey System grid for the nation made it possible to map boundary lines where

boundary descriptions were based upon the PLSS or included references to some of its features. The PLSS data were acquired through ESRI.

SOURCE MEDIUM NAME CD-ROM  
RESOLUTION OF THE SOURCE DATA  
SCALE DENOMINATOR 0

SOURCE CITATION ►  
TITLE U.S. National Atlas Public Land Survey  
ALTERNATE TITLES PLSS

PRESENTATION FORMATS digital map  
FGDC GEOSPATIAL PRESENTATION FORMAT vector digital data

RESPONSIBLE PARTY  
ORGANIZATION'S NAME National Atlas of the United States and the United States Geological Survey  
CONTACT'S ROLE originator

RESPONSIBLE PARTY  
ORGANIZATION'S NAME ESRI  
CONTACT'S ROLE publisher

CONTACT INFORMATION ►  
ADDRESS  
DELIVERY POINT Redlands, California, USA

*Hide Contact information ▲*

RESOURCE LOCATION ONLINE  
LOCATION <http://www.esri.com>

*Hide Source citation ▲*

*Hide Source data ▲*

SOURCE DATA ►  
DESCRIPTION  
The ESRI detailed county, msrivers, glocale, gsummit, highway, mjwater, and rail100K data were used as a modern base map, a reference for drawing historical county boundaries.

SOURCE MEDIUM NAME CD-ROM  
RESOLUTION OF THE SOURCE DATA  
SCALE DENOMINATOR 100

SOURCE CITATION ▶

TITLE ESRI Data Maps  
ALTERNATE TITLES BASE1

EDITION 2000

PRESENTATION FORMATS digital map  
FGDC GEOSPATIAL PRESENTATION FORMAT vector digital data

RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc. (ESRI)  
CONTACT'S ROLE originator

RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc. (ESRI)  
CONTACT'S ROLE publisher

CONTACT INFORMATION ▶

ADDRESS  
DELIVERY POINT Redlands, California, USA

[Hide Contact information ▲](#)

[Hide Source citation ▲](#)

EXTENT OF THE SOURCE DATA

DESCRIPTION  
publication date

TEMPORAL EXTENT  
DATE AND TIME 2000-01-01

[Hide Source data ▲](#)

[Hide Lineage ▲](#)

## Distribution ▶

DISTRIBUTOR ▶

CONTACT INFORMATION

ORGANIZATION'S NAME Dr. William M. Scholl Center for American History and Culture, The  
Newberry Library  
CONTACT'S POSITION Director, Dr. William M. Scholl Center for American History and Culture  
CONTACT'S ROLE distributor

CONTACT INFORMATION ▶

ADDRESS  
TYPE both

DELIVERY POINT 60 W. Walton Street  
CITY Chicago  
ADMINISTRATIVE AREA Illinois  
POSTAL CODE 60610  
COUNTRY US  
E-MAIL ADDRESS [scholl@newberry.org](mailto:scholl@newberry.org)

HOURS OF SERVICE  
8:00 am - 5:00 pm, M-F, CT

*[Hide Contact information ▲](#)*

AVAILABLE FORMAT

NAME SHP

FILE DECOMPRESSION TECHNIQUE Zipped file.

TECHNICAL PREREQUISITES To use this data requires software that supports ESRI GIS shapefiles.

ORDERING PROCESS

DATE OF AVAILABILITY

TRANSFER OPTIONS

TRANSFER SIZE 10.637

ONLINE SOURCE

LOCATION <http://www.newberry.org/ahcbp>

TRANSFER OPTIONS

ONLINE SOURCE

DESCRIPTION Mississippi Historical Counties Dataset shapefile

*[Hide Distributor ▲](#)*

DISTRIBUTION FORMAT

\* NAME Shapefile

TRANSFER OPTIONS

\* TRANSFER SIZE 10.637

ONLINE SOURCE

LOCATION <http://www.newberry.org/ahcbp>

*[Hide Distribution ▲](#)*

## Fields ►

DETAILS FOR OBJECT [MS\\_Historical\\_Counties ►](#)

\* TYPE Feature Class

\* ROW COUNT 487

DEFINITION

county and county equivalents

DEFINITION SOURCE

Miss. Laws

FIELD FID ►

- \* ALIAS FID
- \* DATA TYPE OID
- \* WIDTH 4
- \* PRECISION 0
- \* SCALE 0

FIELD DESCRIPTION

Internal feature number. The FID number is the unique identifier (a primary key in database terms) for each polygon within a shapefile; its application is limited to its single shapefile.

DESCRIPTION SOURCE

ESRI

DESCRIPTION OF VALUES

Sequential unique whole numbers that are automatically generated.

*Hide Field FID ▲*

FIELD Shape ►

- \* ALIAS Shape
- \* DATA TYPE Geometry
- \* WIDTH 0
- \* PRECISION 0
- \* SCALE 0

FIELD DESCRIPTION

Feature geometry.

DESCRIPTION SOURCE

ESRI

DESCRIPTION OF VALUES

Coordinates defining the features.

*Hide Field Shape ▲*

FIELD NAME ►

- \* ALIAS NAME
- \* DATA TYPE String
- \* WIDTH 20
- \* PRECISION 0
- \* SCALE 0

FIELD DESCRIPTION

name or other identification of county or equivalent, limited to 20 characters

#### DESCRIPTION SOURCE

colonial, territorial, state, and federal laws

#### DESCRIPTION OF VALUES

character field

[Hide Field NAME ▲](#)

#### FIELD ID ►

\* ALIAS ID

\* DATA TYPE String

\* WIDTH 50

\* PRECISION 0

\* SCALE 0

#### FIELD DESCRIPTION

Whereas the FID numbers (see above) uniquely identify the different polygons in a single state's shapefile, the ID code identifies unique geographical institutions, i.e., states, counties, and other administrative entities. The ID code is stable across datasets (state shapefiles); it does not change when there is a change in the county's name, shape, size, location, or parent state or equivalent. Each county's unique identifier is set in terms of its current or most recent state affiliation. Hence, "MES\_York" is the identifier for modern York County, Maine, and all its earlier versions, even though it was created as part of colonial Massachusetts and is represented by polygons in the shapefiles of both Massachusetts and Maine.

Because the FIPS system (see below) provides no codes for some extinct counties, no codes for non-county areas, and no codes for the colonies and territories that were predecessors of the states, it has been necessary to create a more comprehensive, alternative system of identifiers. The system adopted by the Atlas identifies each state and colony or territory with three letters, the first two based on the system of two-letter codes employed by the U.S. Post Office and the third indicating the status of the organization. (In most cases that is simply a C for colony, a T for territory, or an S for state.) For example, IAT stands for Iowa Territory and IAS for the state of Iowa. Some precursors of states need special ID codes, most of which are intuitively easy to read and to apply, especially in the context of a particular state's dataset. Examples are NWT (Northwest Territory, formally named Territory Northwest of the River Ohio), SWF (Spanish West Florida), FRS (State of Franklin), DKT (Dakota Territory), CRC (Colony of Carolina), and TXR (Republic of Texas).

Counties are identified by appending their names to the state codes, as in "KYS\_Adair" for Adair County in the state of Kentucky. Non-county areas are abbreviated NCA; within a specific state they are differentiated from each other by adding a numeral to the abbreviation, as in "MOS\_NCA1" for non-county area number 1 in the state of Missouri. Occasionally special codes are needed to deal with unusual historical situations, as in Vermont where the original Washington County, identified as "VTS\_Washington01," became extinct and later the name was applied to another county ("VTS\_Washington") that continues today. The county identifiers also have been created with an eye towards users who may wish to download and work with more than one state file for regions and want a comprehensive way to sort and select shapefiles or to link the attribute table to the comprehensive database.

DESCRIPTION SOURCE  
project standards

DESCRIPTION OF VALUES  
character field

*Hide Field ID ▲*

FIELD STATE ►

- \* ALIAS STATE
- \* DATA TYPE String
- \* WIDTH 20
- \* PRECISION 0
- \* SCALE 0

FIELD DESCRIPTION

name of the county's current or most recent state affiliation.

DESCRIPTION SOURCE  
colonial, territorial, state, and federal laws

DESCRIPTION OF VALUES  
character field

*Hide Field STATE ▲*

FIELD FIPS ►

- \* ALIAS FIPS
- \* DATA TYPE String
- \* WIDTH 5
- \* PRECISION 0
- \* SCALE 0

FIELD DESCRIPTION

FIPS codes are provided for the convenience of researchers working with data that has already been labeled with numbers from that coding system. FIPS is the abbreviation of Federal Information Processing Standard. FIPS codes were created in the first half of the twentieth century and are meant to facilitate efficiency and clarity in data handling. The system provides a two-digit code for each state or equivalent and a three-digit code for each county or equivalent. (Sometimes those codes are combined into five-digit numbers that start with the two digits for the state, as in this attribute table). The FIPS codes for states and counties in existence at the end of 2000 were taken from the federal government's FIPS PUB 6-4 (created 1996, last modified 10 May 2002), and the codes for extinct counties were taken from earlier lists. Some counties or other administrative entities may have no FIPS codes. In some cases they represent historical counties that became extinct before the introduction of FIPS codes; in other cases they represent temporary non-county areas. In the attribute table the FIPS field for those areas and extinct counties has been left blank because there is no standard system for pre-FIPS colonies, territories, and counties and no coding system includes non-county areas. Of course, users may supply a FIPS substitute of their own



creation or, for extinct early counties, adopt an existing, alternative coding scheme, such as the one employed by Richard L. Forstall in his compilation, "Population of States and Counties of the United States: 1790-1990" (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1996). In addition, as described above under Attribute Label: ID, the Atlas developed a parallel system of non-FIPS Identifiers to encode all states, counties, and equivalents; it is more flexible and working with it is easier than using the FIPS codes.

DESCRIPTION SOURCE

FIPS PUB 6-4

CODED VALUES

NAME OF CODELIST Federal Information Processing Standards

SOURCE FIPS PUB 6-4

*Hide Field FIPS ▲*

FIELD VERSION ►

\* ALIAS VERSION

\* DATA TYPE SmallInteger

\* WIDTH 4

\* PRECISION 4

\* SCALE 0

FIELD DESCRIPTION

sequential and chronological change in county name or configuration

DESCRIPTION SOURCE

compiler

DESCRIPTION OF VALUES

character field

*Hide Field VERSION ▲*

FIELD START\_DATE ►

\* ALIAS START\_DATE

\* DATA TYPE Date

\* WIDTH 8

\* PRECISION 0

\* SCALE 0

FIELD DESCRIPTION

first date for a particular county version or event, arranged as mm/dd/yyyy

DESCRIPTION SOURCE

colonial, territorial, state, and federal laws

RANGE OF VALUES

MINIMUM VALUE 17850207

MAXIMUM VALUE 20001231

BEGINNING DATE OF VALUES 02/07/1785

ENDING DATE OF VALUES 03/14/1934

*Hide Field START\_DATE ▲*

FIELD END\_DATE ►

- \* ALIAS END\_DATE
- \* DATA TYPE Date
- \* WIDTH 8
- \* PRECISION 0
- \* SCALE 0

FIELD DESCRIPTION

last date for a particular county version or event, arranged as mm/dd/yyyy

DESCRIPTION SOURCE

colonial, territorial, state, and federal laws

RANGE OF VALUES

MINIMUM VALUE 17880131  
MAXIMUM VALUE 20001231

BEGINNING DATE OF VALUES 01/31/1788

ENDING DATE OF VALUES 12/31/2000

*Hide Field END\_DATE ▲*

FIELD CHANGE ►

- \* ALIAS CHANGE
- \* DATA TYPE String
- \* WIDTH 254
- \* PRECISION 0
- \* SCALE 0

FIELD DESCRIPTION

creation, change, or other event for each county on the given date

DESCRIPTION SOURCE

colonial, territorial, state, and federal laws, compiler

DESCRIPTION OF VALUES

character field

*Hide Field CHANGE ▲*

FIELD CITATION ►

- \* ALIAS CITATION
- \* DATA TYPE String
- \* WIDTH 254
- \* PRECISION 0
- \* SCALE 0

FIELD DESCRIPTION

reference to the source of data for the event described under CHANGE

DESCRIPTION SOURCE

colonial, territorial, state, and federal laws, any other texts, maps, or interviews employed to gather data

DESCRIPTION OF VALUES

character field

*Hide Field CITATION ▲*

FIELD START\_N ►

- \* ALIAS START\_N
- \* DATA TYPE Integer
- \* WIDTH 9
- \* PRECISION 9
- \* SCALE 0

FIELD DESCRIPTION

first date for a particular county version or event, arranged in the standard date format  
yyyymmdd

DESCRIPTION SOURCE

colonial, territorial, state, and federal laws

RANGE OF VALUES

MINIMUM VALUE 17850207  
MAXIMUM VALUE 19340314

*Hide Field START\_N ▲*

FIELD END\_N ►

- \* ALIAS END\_N
- \* DATA TYPE Integer
- \* WIDTH 9
- \* PRECISION 9
- \* SCALE 0

FIELD DESCRIPTION

last date for a particular county version or event, arranged in the standard date format  
yyyymmdd

DESCRIPTION SOURCE

colonial, territorial, state, and federal laws

RANGE OF VALUES

MINIMUM VALUE 17880131  
MAXIMUM VALUE 20001231

*Hide Field END\_N ▲*

#### FIELD AREA\_SQMI ►

- \* ALIAS AREA\_SQMI
- \* DATA TYPE Double
- \* WIDTH 19
- \* PRECISION 0
- \* SCALE 0

#### FIELD DESCRIPTION

area of a county or equivalent in square miles, calculated from polygon by means of ArcMap facility

#### DESCRIPTION SOURCE

compiler

#### DESCRIPTION OF VALUES

numeric field

*Hide Field AREA\_SQMI ▲*

#### FIELD DATASET ►

- \* ALIAS DATASET
- \* DATA TYPE String
- \* WIDTH 25
- \* PRECISION 0
- \* SCALE 0

#### FIELD DESCRIPTION

The dataset field identifies the topical focus of the master shapefile. For every state the subject matter consists of all events affecting state and county jurisdiction within the borders of the modern state, regardless of the enabling authority, plus similar events involving the state outside its modern bounds, regardless of where or when. For example, polygons for Virginia's earliest western counties appear in the dataset for Kentucky because they represent part of the history of the area that became Kentucky; they also are included in the Virginia dataset because they are integral to the early history of Virginia, even though Virginia long ago ceded its authority over the area. In general, therefore, the dataset encompasses more data than a state, concentrating on one state (the principal point of focus) but possibly embracing data from one or more related, secondary states.

Historically, almost every colony and territory transformed smoothly into statehood with no complications that might have required separate datasets for the state and its predecessors. The exception is Dakota Territory, which has its own dataset, and which split into a pair of states.

#### DESCRIPTION SOURCE

Project standards

#### DESCRIPTION OF VALUES

character field

[Hide Field DATASET ▲](#)

FIELD CNTY\_TYPE ►

- \* ALIAS CNTY\_TYPE
- \* DATA TYPE String
- \* WIDTH 25
- \* PRECISION 0
- \* SCALE 0

FIELD DESCRIPTION

This field classifies each county and equivalent into one of several categories: (1) District; judicial districts, a county equivalent which at one time served as a basic unit of government in South Carolina, (2) Parish; a county equivalent which at one time served as a basic unit of government in South Carolina, and which is currently the primary unit of government in Louisiana, (3) Jefferson\_Territory; an extralegal territory, never recognized by the United States, that included all of present Colorado and parts of present Nebraska, Wyoming, and Utah, (4) Proposal; proposed counties which never became operational, (5) County; all remaining counties and county equivalents included in this dataset.

DESCRIPTION SOURCE

Project standards

DESCRIPTION OF VALUES

character field

[Hide Field CNTY\\_TYPE ▲](#)

FIELD FULL\_NAME ►

- \* ALIAS FULL\_NAME
- \* DATA TYPE String
- \* WIDTH 50
- \* PRECISION 0
- \* SCALE 0

FIELD DESCRIPTION

name or other identification of county or equivalent

DESCRIPTION SOURCE

colonial, territorial, state, and federal laws

DESCRIPTION OF VALUES

character field

[Hide Field FULL\\_NAME ▲](#)

[Hide Details for object MS\\_Historical\\_Counties ▲](#)

[Hide Fields ▲](#)

## References ►

### AGGREGATE INFORMATION

ASSOCIATION TYPE cross reference

### AGGREGATE RESOURCE NAME ►

TITLE Atlas of Historical County Boundaries

FGDC GEOSPATIAL PRESENTATION FORMAT book and vector digital data

### OTHER CITATION DETAILS

19 book vols. (1993-2000), online publication (2000-present)

### RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME Peter Siczewicz, GIS Consultant

CONTACT'S ROLE originator

### RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME Laura Rico-Beck, GIS Specialist, GIS Compiler

CONTACT'S ROLE originator

### RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME John H. Long, Editor, Historical Compiler

CONTACT'S ROLE originator

### RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME Gordon DenBoer, Historical Compiler

CONTACT'S ROLE originator

### RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME Robert Will, Cartographic Assistant

CONTACT'S ROLE originator

### RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME George E. Goodridge, Jr., Historical Compiler

CONTACT'S ROLE originator

### RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME John Ford, Cartographic Assistant

CONTACT'S ROLE originator

### RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME Emily Kelley, Historical Compiler, GIS Compiler

CONTACT'S ROLE originator

### RESPONSIBLE PARTY

CONTACT'S ROLE publisher

### CONTACT INFORMATION ►

ADDRESS

DELIVERY POINT New York

[Hide Contact information ▲](#)

RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME Douglas Knox, Book Digitizing Director, GIS Compiler  
CONTACT'S ROLE originator

RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME Peggy Tuck Sinko, Assoc. Editor, Historical Compiler  
CONTACT'S ROLE originator

RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ORGANIZATION'S NAME Kathryn Ford Thorne, Historical Compiler  
CONTACT'S ROLE originator

[Hide Aggregate resource name ▲](#)

[Hide References ▲](#)

## Metadata Details ►

METADATA LANGUAGE English (UNITED STATES)  
METADATA CHARACTER SET utf8 - 8 bit UCS Transfer Format

SCOPE OF THE DATA DESCRIBED BY THE METADATA dataset  
SCOPE NAME \* dataset

\* LAST UPDATE 2019-02-14

ARCGIS METADATA PROPERTIES

METADATA FORMAT ArcGIS 1.0

CREATED IN ARCGIS FOR THE ITEM 2009-10-01 14:19:04  
LAST MODIFIED IN ARCGIS FOR THE ITEM 2019-02-14 10:53:12

AUTOMATIC UPDATES

HAVE BEEN PERFORMED Yes  
LAST UPDATE 2019-02-14 10:53:12

[Hide Metadata Details ▲](#)

## Metadata Contacts ►

METADATA CONTACT

ORGANIZATION'S NAME Dr. William M. Scholl Center for American History and Culture, The Newberry Library  
CONTACT'S POSITION Director, Dr. William M. Scholl Center for American History and Culture  
CONTACT'S ROLE point of contact

CONTACT INFORMATION ►

#### ADDRESS

TYPE both  
DELIVERY POINT 60 W. Walton Street  
CITY Chicago  
ADMINISTRATIVE AREA Illinois  
POSTAL CODE 60610  
COUNTRY US  
E-MAIL ADDRESS [scholl@newberry.org](mailto:scholl@newberry.org)

#### HOURS OF SERVICE

8:00 am - 5:00 pm, M-F, CT

[Hide Contact information ▲](#)

[Hide Metadata Contacts ▲](#)

## Thumbnail and Enclosures ►

#### THUMBNAIL

THUMBNAIL TYPE JPG

#### ENCLOSURE

ENCLOSURE TYPE File  
DESCRIPTION OF ENCLOSURE original metadata  
ORIGINAL METADATA DOCUMENT, WHICH WAS TRANSLATED yes  
SOURCE METADATA FORMAT fgdc

[Hide Thumbnail and Enclosures ▲](#)

## FGDC Metadata (read-only) ▼

#### CITATION

##### CITATION INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR Peggy Tuck Sinko, Historical Compiler  
ORIGINATOR Laura Rico-Beck, Digital Compiler  
ORIGINATOR John H. Long, Editor, Atlas of Historical County Boundaries  
PUBLICATION DATE 2/12/2010

##### TITLE

Mississippi\_Historical\_Counties\_Dataset

GEOSPATIAL DATA PRESENTATION FORM vector digital data

##### SERIES INFORMATION

SERIES NAME Atlas of Historical County Boundaries-Digital (Shapefiles)  
ONLINE LINKAGE <http://www.newberry.org/ahcbp>

#### DESCRIPTION

##### ABSTRACT

This document serves as the metadata for the Mississippi Historical Counties Dataset shapefile for use in a geographic information system (GIS). That file may be downloaded without charge from this Web site (<http://www.newberry.org/ahcbp>); see also [Distribution\\_Information](#), below. In addition, an interactive map of Mississippi's Historical Counties Dataset is available for operation and viewing through the Web site by means of ArcIMS, a program produced by Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI). ArcIMS draws its boundary data for the interactive map from the Mississippi Historical Counties Dataset shapefile. The interactive map is projected



while the downloadable shapefile is not. Here are descriptions of the sources and methods used to gather and process the information that appears in the shapefile and in the interactive map so that users can evaluate the quality and utility of the data.

The comprehensive Mississippi Historical Counties Dataset shapefile holds the polygons, metadata, and attribute data for every different configuration of every county or county equivalent in Mississippi, dated to the day, from 7 February 1785 through 31 December 2000. The Historical Counties Dataset, together with a number of supplementary cartographic data files and text files, enable users easily to employ a geographic information system for the analysis and display of county-related historical data.

First among the non-cartographic data files is the Mississippi Comprehensive Database (a tab-delimited text file that can be imported into a database or spreadsheet program), which provides descriptions of all known changes in state and county boundaries, changes in county organization and attachments, and changes in status and name, together with citations to the sources. These data include unmappable boundary changes, which usually means changes too small to plot as polygons at compilation scale, changes whose shapes could not be plotted at compilation scale (e.g., shift of a boundary line from the centerline of a road to one shoulder or the other), and changes that could not be mapped for other reasons (e.g., the location of the change could not be determined). In the Comprehensive Database, there is a separate entry for each county involved in each event. That facilitates assembling all the events pertaining to a single county.

In addition to the Comprehensive Database, there are five supplemental texts. These are: (1) a comprehensive County Index (includes proposed and extinct counties and non-county areas and provides cross references for name changes, with hyperlinks to corresponding individual county chronologies), (2) a Consolidated Chronology that organizes all the data by date, combining all the counties involved in an event into a single, composite entry, (3) a set of Individual County Chronologies, each one covering all the changes in a single county or equivalent, (4) a Bibliography that lists the primary and secondary sources found useful in the historical research, and (5) a Commentary on the research problems and materials that were remarkable or unusual in the process of historical compilation (Not every state requires a commentary.). A "Read Me" file introduces all these files and indicates how to get started with them.

#### PURPOSE

The Atlas is meant to be a resource for people (a) seeking records of past events, (b) trying to analyze, interpret, and display county-based historical data like returns of elections and censuses, and (c) working on state and local history. The special interests of those potential users range from history to demography, economics, genealogy, geography, law, and politics.

Counties and their equivalents (e.g., parishes in Louisiana and independent cities in four other states) cover all the territory of the United States, function as repositories of valuable records, and long have been used as the geographic base units for the gathering of essential social, political, and economic data. The authority to create, change, or eliminate counties and to specify their functions lies with the states and their predecessors. In detail, the role of counties varies from state to state, but in every state they administer the judicial system and provide a great number of services. In the process, counties collect and preserve large quantities of information. For example: records of marriages, births, and deaths; probated wills; militia training; real-estate transfers; tax collections; welfare benefits; school programs; voter registrations; etc. Outside densely populated cities, counties have served as colonial, territorial, and state legislative districts and as the building blocks of congressional

districts. In the nineteenth century they became the grassroots centers for the development of political parties. Moreover, counties have been the principal geographic units for the collection and aggregation of data from colonial/territorial, state, and federal censuses.

Unfortunately for researchers, the average county has changed size, shape, or location between four and five times. Therefore, knowing the present county of the place where a past event occurred may not be sufficient to find its official records. If county boundaries changed in the meantime, it is necessary to learn what county had jurisdiction at the time of the event to identify the courthouse where the record is stored today. If the reported population of a county changed from one census to another, was that because of an increase or a decrease in the number of people, or an annexation or loss of populated territory, or a combination of both? Trying to analyze county-based historical data without controlling for boundary changes is almost certain to yield errors and lead to false conclusions.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Method: Historical compilers plot county boundary changes in chronological order. Working directly from originals or photocopies of the verbal boundary descriptions in the state session laws, the ultimate authoritative source, the researcher plots the lines on a transparent compilation sheet laid over a modern base map of the state. As each change is plotted, the compiler writes a descriptive entry for the state's boundary chronology and a brief citation of the source of the information. The compiler creates the Comprehensive Database from this information.

Plotting boundary changes of all counties together and in sequence, not merely reconstructing the counties at different points in time (e.g., dates of censuses) or concentrating on a single county at a time (thereby taking it out of the context of what happened to its neighbors), is an important aspect of the historical compilation process. Doing so gives the compiler valuable insight into how the counties developed and whether the intentions of legislators were realized in their enactments. For example, a law may say its purpose is to transfer territory from County A to County B, but the actual effect, visibly evident from the plot, may be to transfer territory from both A and C to B. When boundaries are plotted this way, gores (gaps between counties) and overlaps created accidentally by the legislature are readily apparent, and errors in plotting are discovered almost immediately. It is nearly impossible to detect such developments unless the counties are plotted together. Descriptive entries in the comprehensive database and in the chronologies reflect actual changes because they are written from the compilation plots, not from the laws alone or from secondary works.

One additional benefit of this approach is that it provides an automatic checking mechanism. When the historical compiler reaches the end of the development of the county network, the final version should be identical with the boundaries of the present county. If there is a difference between the completed compilation and the standard, current map, the compiler knows there is a mistake somewhere. Such a discrepancy is rare, but when one is discovered, the compiler reviews the compilation to find the source of the problem. Usually it is a matter of the compiler erring in the plot of a boundary or accidentally omitting some change, either of which can easily be corrected, but occasionally the fault is found on the current, federal map. When the error appears on the federal map, the boundary is plotted accurately and a brief explanation of the difference is added to the supplemental Commentary.

Problematic Data. Every so often, a state's law makers mistakenly overlapped the lines of two or more counties. Once such an overlap was detected, it seldom lasted long because dual jurisdictions generate only trouble, and states acted swiftly to

eliminate them. This atlas treats areas of overlapping jurisdiction as distinct polygons and provides the usual data (e.g., start dates and end dates) for each one.

Much more common than overlaps are non-county areas, that is, areas not within the jurisdiction of any county. Sometimes legal boundary descriptions left small areas, known as gores, outside the bounds of any county. Such inadvertent omissions errors most often occurred in the early days of a state's history when boundary makers lacked knowledge of the state's topography. Sometimes, legislators purposely did not extend county jurisdiction over all of their state's territory as early as possible, but waited until they had a better understanding of the lay of the land and until the prospect of European settlement was closer. Under those circumstances, they often provided a minimum of legal and administrative services for each non-county area by formally attaching it to a fully operational county; later, when the area was ready for settlement or was already under development, the state created one or more counties from the non-county area.

This atlas aims to be absolutely comprehensive and, with a few exceptions (see next paragraph), to leave no "holes" in its historical and geographic coverage of a state. In practice, each state compilation includes all the territory within its bounds in 2000, regardless of what authority created or altered a county there, plus all other territory that may have been within the state's jurisdiction at an earlier time. Also, there are no empty spaces, no areas outside a named polygon. Each non-county area, whether an accidental gore or a region purposely set aside for future settlement, is represented by a polygon, the polygon is named (often merely as a non-county area with a number, such as NCA1), and a full set of data about it is entered in the database and the attribute file.

The exceptions to the "no-holes" policy described above are the large non-county areas in western Virginia, New York, and the New England states during much of the seventeenth century. In London and the other European capitals, officials had access to so little accurate information about inland territory that imperial claims and land grants, including colonial charters, often were incomplete or imprecise or asserted limits (e.g., the Pacific Ocean or "South Sea") that were so extreme as to be impractical to plot. Compilers treated those large, indefinitely bounded, and inadequately described, non-county areas as empty territory and made no attempt to represent them as coherent, historically complete polygons. Because the ArcGIS program requires that all polygons be closed, the compilers supplied estimated boundary lines to close polygons representing indefinitely extensive frontier counties and noted their action in the "Change" field.

Some changes have not been mapped because the change is too small to map, or the location is unknown, or both; for example, a law that transferred ten acres belonging to farmer Smith from one county to another would be unmappable because the parcel is too small to be mapped at the standard compilation scale or because the location of Smith's farm cannot be discovered. When the location of a change too small to map is known, the historical compiler marks the location and the digital compiler digitizes it as a point. All such tiny changes are collected in a separate shapefile, usually labeled [YEAR]\_pt.shp.

Using the historical compiler's plotting overlays and associated material (e.g., notes, copies of the laws), the GIS compiler draws the counties in digital form. For digitizing, the program is ArcGIS 9.1, and the electronic modern "base map" is from the Digital Chart of the World (DCW) provided with ArcGIS by Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI), plus, as needed, such other data (often from another source) as the grid of the Public Land Survey System (PLSS). By repeating much of the procedure of the historical compiler, the digital compiler implicitly checks the work of her

predecessor and occasionally finds line segments that must be corrected. As digitizing proceeds, data from the comprehensive database are entered into the attribute table.

After perfecting the boundary lines, the GIS digitizer assembles copies of all county polygons and attribute data into a single shapefile, the Historical Counties Dataset shapefile.

TIME PERIOD OF CONTENT

TIME PERIOD INFORMATION

RANGE OF DATES/TIMES

BEGINNING DATE 02/07/1785

ENDING DATE 12/31/2000

CURRENTNESS REFERENCE

publication date

STATUS

PROGRESS Complete

MAINTENANCE AND UPDATE FREQUENCY As needed

SPATIAL DOMAIN

BOUNDING COORDINATES

WEST BOUNDING COORDINATE -91.662506

EAST BOUNDING COORDINATE -84.888247

NORTH BOUNDING COORDINATE 35.008029

SOUTH BOUNDING COORDINATE 30.149892

KEYWORDS

THEME

THEME KEYWORD THESAURUS none

THEME KEYWORD historical county boundaries

PLACE

PLACE KEYWORD THESAURUS none

PLACE KEYWORD Mississippi

TEMPORAL

TEMPORAL KEYWORD THESAURUS none

TEMPORAL KEYWORD 7 February 1785 to 31 December 2000

ACCESS CONSTRAINTS

Free access for use under an Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike Creative Commons License

USE CONSTRAINTS

Free for use under an Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike Creative Commons License

POINT OF CONTACT

CONTACT INFORMATION

CONTACT ORGANIZATION PRIMARY

CONTACT ORGANIZATION Dr. William M. Scholl Center for American History and Culture, The Newberry Library

CONTACT POSITION Director, Dr. William M. Scholl Center for American History and Culture

CONTACT ADDRESS

ADDRESS TYPE mailing and physical address

ADDRESS 60 W. Walton Street

CITY Chicago

STATE OR PROVINCE Illinois

POSTAL CODE 60610

COUNTRY UNITED STATES

CONTACT ELECTRONIC MAIL ADDRESS [scholl@newberry.org](mailto:scholl@newberry.org)  
HOURS OF SERVICE 8:00 am - 5:00 pm M-F, CT

#### DATA SET CREDIT

Principal financial support for the project was provided by the Reference Materials Program of the National Endowment for the Humanities, an independent federal agency; additional support came from the Newberry Library, Chicago, the project's headquarters, and from a number of corporations, foundations, and individuals.

#### SECURITY INFORMATION

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM none  
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified  
SECURITY HANDLING DESCRIPTION none

#### NATIVE DATA SET ENVIRONMENT

Microsoft Windows 2000 Version 5.0 (Build 2195) Service Pack 4; ESRI ArcCatalog 9.1.0.780

#### CROSS REFERENCE

##### CITATION INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR John H. Long, Editor, Historical Compiler  
ORIGINATOR Peggy Tuck Sinko, Assoc. Editor, Historical Compiler  
ORIGINATOR Douglas Knox, Book Digitizing Director, GIS Compiler  
ORIGINATOR Gordon DenBoer, Historical Compiler  
ORIGINATOR Kathryn Ford Thorne, Historical Compiler  
ORIGINATOR George E. Goodridge, Jr., Historical Compiler  
ORIGINATOR Emily Kelley, Historical Compiler, GIS Compiler  
ORIGINATOR Laura Rico-Beck, GIS Specialist, GIS Compiler  
ORIGINATOR Peter Siczewicz, GIS Consultant  
ORIGINATOR Robert Will, Cartographic Assistant  
ORIGINATOR John Ford, Cartographic Assistant  
PUBLICATION DATE various

##### TITLE

Atlas of Historical County Boundaries

GEOSPATIAL DATA PRESENTATION FORM book and vector digital data

##### PUBLICATION INFORMATION

PUBLICATION PLACE New York  
PUBLISHER Simon and Schuster  
PUBLISHER Charles Scribner's Sons

##### OTHER CITATION DETAILS

19 book vols. (1993-2000), online publication (2000-present)

#### [Hide Identification](#) ▲

ATTRIBUTE ACCURACY  
ATTRIBUTE ACCURACY REPORT

The Atlas of Historical County Boundaries Project aims to achieve high accuracy through the use of the most authoritative and reliable sources, analysis of those sources by tested procedures, and careful proofreading of the results. Because counties are created and changed by their states, the state session laws are the primary, authoritative sources for the county lines, names, organization, and attachments. The initial plots of the boundaries are direct conversions of the legal boundary descriptions in the laws into linework on the plotting sheets. They are performed with copies of the legal descriptions at hand, and those same laws also are at hand for the GIS compiler when digitizing boundaries. All other sources, including old maps, are derived from those legal descriptions. The historical compiler searches the state session laws and, when necessary, related material (e.g., court decisions,

executive proclamations) for information about the courses of the boundaries. Secondary texts, maps, and local experts are consulted as needed (e.g., when recovering a long-lost landmark that figured in an early boundary description). Dates of changes are also taken from the laws. Some laws specify when the change will go into effect, but others (mostly those passed before the twentieth century) do not; if no official effective date is provided, the historical compiler uses the date when the law was passed or approved.

The locations of places and landmarks cited in the boundary descriptions are gathered from the modern, federal base maps or from secondary publications (e.g., gazetteers, county histories, articles in historical journals), old maps, or local experts.

Several steps are taken to insure the accuracy of the boundaries as they are manually plotted, and to maintain the precision of those plots as they are manually digitized. The digitizing process involves faithfully drawing the sketched counties using landmarks such as rivers, roads, and places. These positional data were obtained from ESRI's Data and Maps collection (1:100,000 scale). Additionally, Public Land Survey System data (also at 1:100,000 scale) were used to digitize boundaries in Mississippi. These PLSS data were acquired from ESRI. Once the initial digitizing is complete a master file is created and uploaded on IMS. When the digitizing is complete, the digitized polygons and their attribute data are once again checked for accuracy against the chronology for the state.

#### COMPLETENESS REPORT

The data set is complete. All changes are dated to the day. If there is a difference between the effective date of change and the date when a law was passed, the effective date of change is used. Boundary changes too small to map are included in the chronologies and in the Comprehensive Database. As a rule, boundary changes occurring entirely on water were not mapped. Exceptions to this rule might include county boundaries which run through large inland water bodies like Lake Okeechobee, Lake Pontchartrain, Great Salt Lake, etc.

No regular or systematic updating of the pre-2001 data is anticipated because (a) the historical data cannot change and (b) the compilers believe their methods and materials are sufficient to produce data that are complete and correct. (That is not to say no error can slip through. Suggestions for ad hoc changes or additions to the historical data, together with an explanation of why the change should be made and supporting evidence, should be directed to scholl@newberry.org or Dr. William M. Scholl Center for American History and Culture, The Newberry Library, 60 W. Walton St., Chicago, IL 60610.) County boundary changes that occur after 31 December 2000 will routinely be digitized by both the state of Mississippi and the federal government and, therefore, will be available from agencies of those governments in separate files in the indefinite future.

#### POSITIONAL ACCURACY

HORIZONTAL POSITIONAL ACCURACY

HORIZONTAL POSITIONAL ACCURACY REPORT

Accurate to matching USGS 1:500,000 scale State Base maps.

#### LINEAGE

SOURCE INFORMATION

SOURCE CITATION

CITATION INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR Mississippi territory legislature

PUBLICATION DATE 1816

TITLE

Statutes of the Mississippi Territory

GEOSPATIAL DATA PRESENTATION FORM document

PUBLICATION INFORMATION

PUBLICATION PLACE Natchez, Miss.  
PUBLISHER Government of Mississippi Territory

TYPE OF SOURCE MEDIA paper  
SOURCE TIME PERIOD OF CONTENT  
TIME PERIOD INFORMATION  
RANGE OF DATES/TIMES  
BEGINNING DATE 01/1799  
ENDING DATE 11/1816

SOURCE CURRENTNESS REFERENCE  
publication date  
SOURCE CITATION ABBREVIATION  
Miss. Terr. Stat.

SOURCE CONTRIBUTION  
The compilation of this digest is often attributed to Edward Turner. These laws are the authority for the creation and change of each county; they contain the legal, verbal descriptions of the county boundaries, the effective dates of change, and related material. The historical compilers plot the lines described in the laws, converting them from words to lines on a map.

SOURCE INFORMATION  
SOURCE CITATION  
CITATION INFORMATION  
ORIGINATOR Mississippi legislature  
PUBLICATION DATE 1817 to 2000  
TITLE

General Laws of Mississippi  
GEOSPATIAL DATA PRESENTATION FORM document  
PUBLICATION INFORMATION  
PUBLICATION PLACE Jackson, Miss.  
PUBLISHER Government of Mississippi

SOURCE SCALE DENOMINATOR  
TYPE OF SOURCE MEDIA paper  
SOURCE TIME PERIOD OF CONTENT  
TIME PERIOD INFORMATION  
RANGE OF DATES/TIMES  
BEGINNING DATE 10/1817  
ENDING DATE 12/31/2000

SOURCE CURRENTNESS REFERENCE  
publication date  
SOURCE CITATION ABBREVIATION  
Miss. Laws

SOURCE CONTRIBUTION  
These laws are the authority for the creation and change of each county; they contain the legal, verbal descriptions of the county boundaries, the effective dates of change, and related material. The historical compilers plot the lines described in the laws, converting them from words to lines on a map.

SOURCE INFORMATION  
SOURCE CITATION  
CITATION INFORMATION  
ORIGINATOR Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc. (ESRI)  
PUBLICATION DATE 11/01/2000  
TITLE

ESRI Data Maps  
EDITION 2000  
GEOSPATIAL DATA PRESENTATION FORM vector digital data  
PUBLICATION INFORMATION  
PUBLICATION PLACE Redlands, California, USA

PUBLISHER Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc. (ESRI)

SOURCE SCALE DENOMINATOR 100,000

TYPE OF SOURCE MEDIA CD-ROM

SOURCE TIME PERIOD OF CONTENT

TIME PERIOD INFORMATION

SINGLE DATE/TIME

CALENDAR DATE 2000

SOURCE CURRENTNESS REFERENCE

publication date

SOURCE CITATION ABBREVIATION

BASE1

SOURCE CONTRIBUTION

The ESRI detailed county, msrivers, glocale, gsummit, highway, mjwater, and rail100K data were used as a modern base map, a reference for drawing historical county boundaries.

SOURCE INFORMATION

SOURCE CITATION

CITATION INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR National Atlas of the United States and the United States Geological Survey

PUBLICATION DATE 12/01/2002

TITLE

U.S. National Atlas Public Land Survey

GEOSPATIAL DATA PRESENTATION FORM vector digital data

PUBLICATION INFORMATION

PUBLICATION PLACE Redlands, California, USA

PUBLISHER ESRI

ONLINE LINKAGE <http://www.esri.com>

SOURCE SCALE DENOMINATOR 1,000,000

TYPE OF SOURCE MEDIA CD-ROM

SOURCE CITATION ABBREVIATION

PLSS

SOURCE CONTRIBUTION

The U.S. National Atlas Public Land Survey represents the Public Land Surveys (e.g., donation lands, land grants, private and public lands) of the United States. This polygon coverage of the townships, ranges, and sections contained in the Public Land Survey System grid for the nation made it possible to map boundary lines where boundary descriptions were based upon the PLSS or included references to some of its features. The PLSS data were acquired through ESRI.

SOURCE INFORMATION

SOURCE CITATION

CITATION INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR various

PUBLICATION DATE various

PUBLICATION TIME various

TITLE

Mississippi Historical County Boundaries - Bibliography

EDITION various

PUBLICATION INFORMATION

PUBLICATION PLACE various

PUBLISHER various

SOURCE SCALE DENOMINATOR

TYPE OF SOURCE MEDIA paper, internet

SOURCE CITATION ABBREVIATION

MS\_BIB



SOURCE CONTRIBUTION

"Mississippi: Bibliography and Sources," a partially annotated bibliography of textual and cartographic sources that yielded useful information in the compilation of the historical evolution of Mississippi's counties, is a separate document that is a companion to this shapefile. Items in the bibliography are not equally important, yet each one was sufficiently valuable to the research and compilation of Mississippi's historical county boundaries to merit listing. With the exception of the detailed citations above, no other sources are cited and described separately in the metadata and in the style set by the FGDC metadata standard; traditional bibliographic style is more compact and provides sufficient information for a user to find the item in any library.

PROCESS STEP

PROCESS DESCRIPTION

Historical Compilation. Working directly from originals or photocopies of the verbal boundary descriptions in the laws, the historical compiler plots the boundary lines of Historical Counties Dataset on a transparent compilation sheet laid over a base map of the state. Compilation proceeds from past to present. As each change is plotted the compiler writes a descriptive entry for the state's boundary chronology and a brief citation of the source of the information and enters it into the Comprehensive Database. (See also Supplemental\_Information, Method, above.)

The base map for this operation was the Mississippi map from the U.S.G.S. State Base series at the scale of 1:500,000. (The 1:1,000,000 version of the map was employed whenever smaller scale was appropriate or needed to plot large or simple changes.) The original strategy for the Atlas was to publish all states in book form before venturing to digitize the data, and the 1:500,000 scale maps were used in making the books. Before switching to all digital products and methods, about 80% of the states had been researched and compiled using this series of base maps, including 24 states published in 19 printed volumes. It was not practical to re-compile those data at a larger scale like 1:100,000. (See below, the next two process steps.)

SOURCE USED CITATION ABBREVIATION

Miss. Terr. Stat.

SOURCE USED CITATION ABBREVIATION

Miss. Laws

SOURCE USED CITATION ABBREVIATION

MS\_BIB

PROCESS DATE 1993

SOURCE PRODUCED CITATION ABBREVIATION

MSB1

PROCESS STEP

PROCESS DESCRIPTION

Digital Base Map Creation. The GIS compiler creates a digital base map for the state (and any neighboring states that take part in its history) by editing the relevant portion of the Digital Chart of the World (DCW) supplied by ESRI in its ArcView package. This process consists chiefly in deleting elements (e.g., rivers) that are not related to the boundaries or do not serve a major reference function for potential readers and adding such other data as necessary. State Web sites commonly are the best sources for the grid of the Public Land Survey System (PLSS) in states west of the Appalachian Mountains and for the networks of town boundaries in the New England states. The main component of the customized base map is the set of detailed polygons of the modern counties. The GIS compiler projects the DCW so that the working version matches the projection of the paper base map used by the historical compiler.

SOURCE USED CITATION ABBREVIATION

BASE1

PROCESS DATE 2007

SOURCE PRODUCED CITATION ABBREVIATION  
BASE2

PROCESS STEP  
PROCESS DESCRIPTION

Digitizing Historical Counties. Using the historical compiler's base map, plotting overlays, the Comprehensive Database, and associated material (e.g., notes, copies of the laws), the GIS compiler manually digitizes the historical county polygons over the digital base map. By repeating much of the process of the historical compiler, the digital compiler implicitly checks the work of the historical compiler and occasionally finds line segments that are in error and must be corrected.

As digitizing proceeds, data from the Comprehensive Database are entered into the attribute table. The process of entering attribute data entails an implicit review of the database and, if the greater map detail involved in working at digitization scale (see below) is different from the original descriptions, that may lead to updates of the database, including dates and version numbers and even descriptions of changes.

The compiler works "heads up," facing the monitor and using the mouse to draw lines against a background of the digital base map. The historical compiler's plots are not scanned and overlaid on the digital base map, nor does the digital compiler trace the earlier work on a digitizing tablet, because neither technique is as efficient or accurate as drawing the lines anew. One reason is that the scale for most of the historical compilations is 1:500,000 and the scale for digitization is 1:100,000. It is most unusual to draw a map at a larger scale than its source or early version, but in this case it was unavoidable because digitization did not commence until after nearly all the states had already been compiled at the smaller scale. In effect, the historical plots are a preliminary stage, and the plots from that work become the chief sources or guides (supported by the historical notes and copies of the legal descriptions, and other material) for the digital compiler who renders the final, detailed version of the boundary lines.

SOURCE USED CITATION ABBREVIATION  
MSB1, BASE2

PROCESS DATE 2007

SOURCE PRODUCED CITATION ABBREVIATION  
MSB2

PROCESS STEP  
PROCESS DESCRIPTION

Create the comprehensive Historical Counties Dataset shapefile. After digitizing the historical counties, the GIS compiler creates a shapefile known as the comprehensive Historical Counties Dataset shapefile. It holds all versions of each county, plus unsuccessful proposals for changes and new counties, thus enabling a user to acquire maps of every version of every county. After the historical and IMS master files have been created, areas are calculated for all polygons.

SOURCE USED CITATION ABBREVIATION  
MSB2

PROCESS DATE 2007

SOURCE PRODUCED CITATION ABBREVIATION  
MSB3

PROCESS STEP  
PROCESS DESCRIPTION

Final Proofing. Compilers proof the polygons of the master shapefile against the comprehensive database. After using ArcIMS to prepare an interactive, viewable cartographic shapefile, the compiler compares the entries in the database to the

entries in the attribute table and checks the IMS image for the date and county names specified in the database entry. Discrepancies in the textual material (i.e., database) can be corrected on the spot; apparent errors in the polygons are noted for later correction by the GIS compiler. Later, after the GIS compiler corrects any faults in the line work, those corrections are reviewed again by the compilers and, if all polygons and text match properly, the shapefile is posted to the Web site.

SOURCE USED CITATION ABBREVIATION

MSB3

PROCESS DATE 2007

SOURCE PRODUCED CITATION ABBREVIATION

MSB4

#### PROCESS STEP

##### PROCESS DESCRIPTION

Topology Check. A multi-step process was applied to ensure that historical county polygons fit together precisely at all dates. The first step was to convert the historical county shapefile to a polygon feature class in an ESRI geodatabase. ArcMap tools were then used to planarize the polygon boundary lines in the historical county feature class, and to create polygons from these lines. This resulted in a new feature class consisting of non-overlapping component polygons. The ESRI topology functionality was applied to the component polygons to detect overlaps and gaps, and to snap vertices to the ESRI modern county polygon feature class.

Based on the original historical county data, a table was created to specify, for each component polygon, the different counties to which it belonged and the time frames. The table was programmatically checked to verify that each component polygon was correctly assigned to historical counties throughout its life, with no unexpected gaps or overlaps.

The component polygons were then reassembled back into the historical counties, and converted to a shapefile. The resulting historical county shapefile consists of a large number of overlapping polygons; however, as a result of the topology check process, the subset of counties in effect at any selected date is topologically correct, with no unexpected gaps or overlaps. There are a number of known gaps and overlaps, however, due to legislative or surveying errors, and to conflicting territorial claims.

SOURCE USED CITATION ABBREVIATION

MSB4

PROCESS DATE 2008-2009

SOURCE PRODUCED CITATION ABBREVIATION

MSB5

#### [Hide Data Quality ▲](#)

HORIZONTAL COORDINATE SYSTEM DEFINITION

GEOGRAPHIC

LATITUDE RESOLUTION 0.000000

LONGITUDE RESOLUTION 0.000000

GEOGRAPHIC COORDINATE UNITS Decimal degrees

GEODETTIC MODEL

HORIZONTAL DATUM NAME North American Datum of 1983

ELLIPSOID NAME Geodetic Reference System 80

SEMI-MAJOR AXIS 6378137.000000

DENOMINATOR OF FLATTENING RATIO 298.257222

#### [Hide Spatial Reference ▲](#)

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

ENTITY TYPE  
ENTITY TYPE LABEL MS\_Historical\_Counties  
ENTITY TYPE DEFINITION  
county and county equivalents  
ENTITY TYPE DEFINITION SOURCE Miss. Laws

ATTRIBUTE  
ATTRIBUTE LABEL FID  
ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION  
Internal feature number. The FID number is the unique identifier (a primary key in database terms) for each polygon within a shapefile; its application is limited to its single shapefile.

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION SOURCE ESRI  
ATTRIBUTE DOMAIN VALUES  
UNREPRESENTABLE DOMAIN  
Sequential unique whole numbers that are automatically generated.

ATTRIBUTE  
ATTRIBUTE LABEL Shape  
ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION  
Feature geometry.  
ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION SOURCE ESRI  
ATTRIBUTE DOMAIN VALUES  
UNREPRESENTABLE DOMAIN  
Coordinates defining the features.

ATTRIBUTE  
ATTRIBUTE LABEL NAME  
ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION  
name or other identification of county or equivalent, limited to 20 characters  
ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION SOURCE colonial, territorial, state, and federal laws  
ATTRIBUTE DOMAIN VALUES  
UNREPRESENTABLE DOMAIN  
character field

ATTRIBUTE  
ATTRIBUTE LABEL ID  
ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION  
Whereas the FID numbers (see above) uniquely identify the different polygons in a single state's shapefile, the ID code identifies unique geographical institutions, i.e., states, counties, and other administrative entities. The ID code is stable across datasets (state shapefiles); it does not change when there is a change in the county's name, shape, size, location, or parent state or equivalent. Each county's unique identifier is set in terms of its current or most recent state affiliation. Hence, "MES\_York" is the identifier for modern York County, Maine, and all its earlier versions, even though it was created as part of colonial Massachusetts and is represented by polygons in the shapefiles of both Massachusetts and Maine. Because the FIPS system (see below) provides no codes for some extinct counties, no codes for non-county areas, and no codes for the colonies and territories that were predecessors of the states, it has been necessary to create a more comprehensive, alternative system of identifiers. The system adopted by the Atlas identifies each state and colony or territory with three letters, the first two based on the system of two-letter codes employed by the U.S. Post Office and the third indicating the status of the organization. (In most cases that is simply a C for colony, a T for territory, or an S for state.) For example, IAT stands for Iowa Territory and IAS for the state of Iowa. Some precursors of states need special ID codes, most of which are intuitively easy to read and to apply, especially in the context of a particular state's dataset. Examples are

NWT (Northwest Territory, formally named Territory Northwest of the River Ohio), SWF (Spanish West Florida), FRS (State of Franklin), DKT (Dakota Territory), CRC (Colony of Carolina), and TXR (Republic of Texas). Counties are identified by appending their names to the state codes, as in "KYS\_Adair" for Adair County in the state of Kentucky. Non-county areas are abbreviated NCA; within a specific state they are differentiated from each other by adding a numeral to the abbreviation, as in "MOS\_NCA1" for non-county area number 1 in the state of Missouri. Occasionally special codes are needed to deal with unusual historical situations, as in Vermont where the original Washington County, identified as "VTS\_Washington01," became extinct and later the name was applied to another county ("VTS\_Washington") that continues today. The county identifiers also have been created with an eye towards users who may wish to download and work with more than one state file for regions and want a comprehensive way to sort and select shapefiles or to link the attribute table to the comprehensive database.

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION SOURCE project standards  
ATTRIBUTE DOMAIN VALUES  
UNREPRESENTABLE DOMAIN  
character field

ATTRIBUTE  
ATTRIBUTE LABEL STATE  
ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION  
name of the county's current or most recent state affiliation.

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION SOURCE colonial, territorial, state, and federal laws  
ATTRIBUTE DOMAIN VALUES  
UNREPRESENTABLE DOMAIN  
character field

ATTRIBUTE  
ATTRIBUTE LABEL FIPS  
ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION  
FIPS codes are provided for the convenience of researchers working with data that has already been labeled with numbers from that coding system. FIPS is the abbreviation of Federal Information Processing Standard. FIPS codes were created in the first half of the twentieth century and are meant to facilitate efficiency and clarity in data handling. The system provides a two-digit code for each state or equivalent and a three-digit code for each county or equivalent. (Sometimes those codes are combined into five-digit numbers that start with the two digits for the state, as in this attribute table). The FIPS codes for states and counties in existence at the end of 2000 were taken from the federal government's FIPS PUB 6-4 (created 1996, last modified 10 May 2002), and the codes for extinct counties were taken from earlier lists. Some counties or other administrative entities may have no FIPS codes. In some cases they represent historical counties that became extinct before the introduction of FIPS codes; in other cases they represent temporary non-county areas. In the attribute table the FIPS field for those areas and extinct counties has been left blank because there is no standard system for pre-FIPS colonies, territories, and counties and no coding system includes non-county areas. Of course, users may supply a FIPS substitute of their own creation or, for extinct early counties, adopt an existing, alternative coding scheme, such as the one employed by Richard L. Forstall in his compilation, "Population of States and Counties of the United States: 1790-1990" (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1996). In addition, as described above under Attribute Label: ID, the Atlas developed a parallel system of non-FIPS Identifiers to encode all states, counties, and equivalents; it is more flexible and working with it is easier than using the FIPS codes.

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION SOURCE FIPS PUB 6-4  
ATTRIBUTE DOMAIN VALUES  
CODESET DOMAIN  
CODESET NAME Federal Information Processing Standards

CODESET SOURCE FIPS PUB 6-4

ATTRIBUTE

ATTRIBUTE LABEL VERSION

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION

sequential and chronological change in county name or configuration

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION SOURCE compiler

ATTRIBUTE DOMAIN VALUES

UNREPRESENTABLE DOMAIN

character field

ATTRIBUTE

ATTRIBUTE LABEL START\_DATE

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION

first date for a particular county version or event, arranged as mm/dd/yyyy

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION SOURCE colonial, territorial, state, and federal laws

ATTRIBUTE DOMAIN VALUES

RANGE DOMAIN

RANGE DOMAIN MINIMUM 17850207

RANGE DOMAIN MAXIMUM 20001231

BEGINNING DATE OF ATTRIBUTE VALUES 02/07/1785

ENDING DATE OF ATTRIBUTE VALUES 03/14/1934

ATTRIBUTE

ATTRIBUTE LABEL END\_DATE

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION

last date for a particular county version or event, arranged as mm/dd/yyyy

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION SOURCE colonial, territorial, state, and federal laws

ATTRIBUTE DOMAIN VALUES

RANGE DOMAIN

RANGE DOMAIN MINIMUM 17880131

RANGE DOMAIN MAXIMUM 20001231

BEGINNING DATE OF ATTRIBUTE VALUES 01/31/1788

ENDING DATE OF ATTRIBUTE VALUES 12/31/2000

ATTRIBUTE

ATTRIBUTE LABEL CHANGE

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION

creation, change, or other event for each county on the given date

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION SOURCE colonial, territorial, state, and federal laws, compiler

ATTRIBUTE DOMAIN VALUES

UNREPRESENTABLE DOMAIN

character field

ATTRIBUTE

ATTRIBUTE LABEL CITATION

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION

reference to the source of data for the event described under CHANGE

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION SOURCE colonial, territorial, state, and federal laws, any other texts, maps, or interviews employed to gather data

ATTRIBUTE DOMAIN VALUES

UNREPRESENTABLE DOMAIN

character field

ATTRIBUTE

ATTRIBUTE LABEL START\_N

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION

first date for a particular county version or event, arranged in the standard date format  
yyyymmdd

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION SOURCE colonial, territorial, state, and federal laws  
ATTRIBUTE DOMAIN VALUES  
RANGE DOMAIN  
RANGE DOMAIN MINIMUM 17850207  
RANGE DOMAIN MAXIMUM 19340314

ATTRIBUTE

ATTRIBUTE LABEL END\_N  
ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION

lsat date for a particular county version or event, arranged in the standard date format  
yyyymmdd

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION SOURCE colonial, territorial, state, and federal laws  
ATTRIBUTE DOMAIN VALUES  
RANGE DOMAIN  
RANGE DOMAIN MINIMUM 17880131  
RANGE DOMAIN MAXIMUM 20001231

ATTRIBUTE

ATTRIBUTE LABEL AREA\_SQMI  
ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION

area of a county or equivalent in square miles, calculated from polygon by means of  
ArcMap facility

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION SOURCE compiler  
ATTRIBUTE DOMAIN VALUES  
UNREPRESENTABLE DOMAIN  
numeric field

ATTRIBUTE

ATTRIBUTE LABEL DATASET  
ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION

The dataset field identifies the topical focus of the master shapefile. For every state the subject matter consists of all events affecting state and county jurisdiction within the borders of the modern state, regardless of the enabling authority, plus similar events involving the state outside its modern bounds, regardless of where or when. For example, polygons for Virginia's earliest western counties appear in the dataset for Kentucky because they represent part of the history of the area that became Kentucky; they also are included in the Virginia dataset because they are integral to the early history of Virginia, even though Virginia long ago ceded its authority over the area. In general, therefore, the dataset encompasses more data than a state, concentrating on one state (the principal point of focus) but possibly embracing data from one or more related, secondary states. Historically, almost every colony and territory transformed smoothly into statehood with no complications that might have required separate datasets for the state and its predecessors. The exception is Dakota Territory, which has its own dataset, and which split into a pair of states.

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION SOURCE Project standards  
ATTRIBUTE DOMAIN VALUES  
UNREPRESENTABLE DOMAIN  
character field

ATTRIBUTE

ATTRIBUTE LABEL CNTY\_TYPE  
ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION

This field classifies each county and equivalent into one of several categories: (1) District; judicial districts, a county equivalent which at one time served as a basic unit of government in South Carolina, (2) Parish; a county equivalent which at one time

served as a basic unit of government in South Carolina, and which is currently the primary unit of government in Louisiana, (3) Jefferson\_Territory; an extralegal territory, never recognized by the United States, that included all of present Colorado and parts of present Nebraska, Wyoming, and Utah, (4) Proposal; proposed counties which never became operational, (5) County; all remaining counties and county equivalents included in this dataset.

ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION SOURCE Project standards  
ATTRIBUTE DOMAIN VALUES  
UNREPRESENTABLE DOMAIN  
character field

ATTRIBUTE  
ATTRIBUTE LABEL FULL\_NAME  
ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION  
name or other identification of county or equivalent  
ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION SOURCE colonial, territorial, state, and federal laws  
ATTRIBUTE DOMAIN VALUES  
UNREPRESENTABLE DOMAIN  
character field

*Hide Entities and Attributes ▲*

DISTRIBUTOR  
CONTACT INFORMATION  
CONTACT ORGANIZATION PRIMARY  
CONTACT ORGANIZATION Dr. William M. Scholl Center for American History and Culture,  
The Newberry Library  
CONTACT POSITION Director, Dr. William M. Scholl Center for American History and  
Culture  
CONTACT ADDRESS  
ADDRESS TYPE mailing and physical address  
ADDRESS 60 W. Walton Street  
CITY Chicago  
STATE OR PROVINCE Illinois  
POSTAL CODE 60610  
COUNTRY UNITED STATES

CONTACT ELECTRONIC MAIL ADDRESS [scholl@newberry.org](mailto:scholl@newberry.org)  
HOURS OF SERVICE 8:00 am - 5:00 pm, M-F, CT

RESOURCE DESCRIPTION Mississippi Historical Counties Dataset shapefile  
DISTRIBUTION LIABILITY  
No liability is assumed by the Atlas of Historical County Boundaries Project or the  
Newberry Library  
STANDARD ORDER PROCESS  
DIGITAL FORM  
DIGITAL TRANSFER INFORMATION  
FORMAT NAME SHP  
FILE DECOMPRESSION TECHNIQUE Zipped file.  
TRANSFER SIZE 10.637

DIGITAL TRANSFER OPTION  
ONLINE OPTION  
COMPUTER CONTACT INFORMATION  
NETWORK ADDRESS  
NETWORK RESOURCE NAME <http://www.newberry.org/ahcbp>

TECHNICAL PREREQUISITES  
To use this data requires software that supports ESRI GIS shapefiles.



AVAILABLE TIME PERIOD  
TIME PERIOD INFORMATION  
SINGLE DATE/TIME  
CALENDAR DATE Fall 2009 and thereafter

*Hide Distribution Information ▲*

METADATA DATE 2/12/2010  
METADATA CONTACT  
CONTACT INFORMATION  
CONTACT ORGANIZATION PRIMARY  
CONTACT ORGANIZATION Dr. William M. Scholl Center for American History and Culture,  
The Newberry Library  
CONTACT POSITION Director, Dr. William M. Scholl Center for American History and  
Culture  
CONTACT ADDRESS  
ADDRESS TYPE mailing and physical address  
ADDRESS 60 W. Walton Street  
CITY Chicago  
STATE OR PROVINCE Illinois  
POSTAL CODE 60610  
COUNTRY UNITED STATES  
  
CONTACT ELECTRONIC MAIL ADDRESS [scholl@newberry.org](mailto:scholl@newberry.org)  
HOURS OF SERVICE 8:00 am - 5:00 pm, M-F, CT

METADATA STANDARD NAME FGDC Content Standards for Digital Geospatial Metadata  
METADATA STANDARD VERSION FGDC-STD-001-1998  
METADATA TIME CONVENTION local time

METADATA EXTENSIONS  
METADATA EXTENSIONS  
ONLINE LINKAGE <http://www.esri.com/metadata/esriprof80.html>  
PROFILE NAME ESRI Metadata Profile

*Hide Metadata Reference ▲*